






What jurisdiction type do you represent?			
		Response Percent	Response Count
Federal	<div><div></div></div>	12.1%	4
State	<div><div></div></div>	3.0%	1
County	<div><div></div></div>	42.4%	14
Tribal	<div><div></div></div>	3.0%	1
Public Utility	<div><div></div></div>	12.1%	4
General Public	<div><div></div></div>	12.1%	4
Other (please specify)	<div><div></div></div>	30.3%	10
answered question			33
skipped question			0

What County/Tribal Community do you represent or as a private citizen where do you live?			
		Response Percent	Response Count
Blackfeet		0.0%	0
Crow		0.0%	0
Flathead	<div><div></div></div>	9.1%	3
Fort Belknap		0.0%	0
Fort Peck		0.0%	0
Northern Cheyenne		0.0%	0
Rocky Boy's		0.0%	0
Beaverhead		0.0%	0
Big Horn		0.0%	0
Blaine		0.0%	0
Broadwater		0.0%	0
Carbon		0.0%	0
Carter		0.0%	0
Cascade		0.0%	0
Chouteau		0.0%	0

State of Montana Multi-Hazard Mitigation Plan and Statewide Hazard Assessment

Custer		0.0%	0
Daniels		0.0%	0
Dawson		0.0%	0
Deer Lodge		3.0%	1
Fallon		0.0%	0
Fergus		0.0%	0
Flathead		6.1%	2
Gallatin		0.0%	0
Garfield		0.0%	0
Glacier		0.0%	0
Golden Valley		0.0%	0
Granite		0.0%	0
Hill		0.0%	0
Jefferson		0.0%	0
Judith Basin		0.0%	0
Lake		3.0%	1
Lewis And Clark		0.0%	0
Liberty		0.0%	0
Lincoln		3.0%	1
Madison		0.0%	0
McCone		0.0%	0
Meagher		0.0%	0
Mineral		0.0%	0
Missoula		27.3%	9
Musselshell		0.0%	0
Park		0.0%	0
Petroleum		0.0%	0
Phillips		0.0%	0
Pondera		0.0%	0
Powder River		0.0%	0
Powell		0.0%	0

Prairie		0.0%	0
Ravalli	<div></div>	48.5%	16
Richland		0.0%	0
Roosevelt		0.0%	0
Rosebud		0.0%	0
Sanders		0.0%	0
Sheridan		0.0%	0
Silver Bow		0.0%	0
Stillwater		0.0%	0
Sweet Grass		0.0%	0
Teton		0.0%	0
Toole		0.0%	0
Treasure		0.0%	0
Valley		0.0%	0
Wheatland		0.0%	0
Wibaux		0.0%	0
Yellowstone		0.0%	0
Other		0.0%	0
	answered question		33
	skipped question		0

Have you seen or read the State of Montana Multi-Hazard Mitigation Plan and Statewide Hazard Assessment.			
		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	<div></div>	54.6%	18
No	<div></div>	45.5%	15
	answered question		33
	skipped question		0

How would you rate the overall quality and content of the plan.			
		Response Percent	Response Count
1 - Poor		0.0%	0
2	<div></div>	12.5%	2
3 - Average	<div></div>	50.0%	8
4	<div></div>	43.8%	7
5 - Excellent	<div></div>	6.3%	1
		answered question	16
		skipped question	17

Do you feel the plan accurately portrays natural and man-made hazards in Montana?			
		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	<div></div>	87.5%	14
No	<div></div>	25.0%	4
		answered question	16
		skipped question	17

What improvements do you think could be made to the plan?		
		Response Count
		9
	<i>answered question</i>	9
	<i>skipped question</i>	24

From the perspective of the jurisdiction that you represent or permanently reside in, how do you perceive the risk to each of the following hazards: Risk is defined as the potential to affect people, environment, economy and property of your jurisdiction. High/Medium/Low for:					
	High	Medium	Low	Rating Average	Response Count
Communicable Disease	38.1% (8)	42.9% (9)	19.0% (4)	1.81	21
Drought	28.6% (6)	57.1% (12)	14.3% (3)	1.86	21
Earthquake	40.0% (8)	45.0% (9)	15.0% (3)	1.75	20
Flooding/Dam Failure	52.4% (11)	38.1% (8)	9.5% (2)	1.57	21
Hazardous Material Incidents	42.9% (9)	47.6% (10)	9.5% (2)	1.67	21
Landslide	14.3% (3)	33.3% (7)	52.4% (11)	2.38	21
Terrorism/Violence	4.8% (1)	23.8% (5)	71.4% (15)	2.67	21
Thunderstorm Wind, Hail, and Tornadoes	33.3% (7)	52.4% (11)	14.3% (3)	1.81	21
Volcanic Eruption	4.8% (1)	9.5% (2)	85.7% (18)	2.81	21
Wildfire	85.7% (18)	14.3% (3)	0.0% (0)	1.14	21
Winter Storms/Avalanche	33.3% (7)	57.1% (12)	9.5% (2)	1.76	21
	answered question				21
	skipped question				12

Please comment on the impact that future development will have on the hazards listed from the perspective of your jurisdiction.			
		Response Percent	Response Count
Communicable Disease	<div></div>	82.4%	14
Drought	<div></div>	88.2%	15
Earthquake	<div></div>	88.2%	15
<b>Flooding/Dam Failure</b>	<div></div>	<b>94.1%</b>	16
Hazardous Material Incidents	<div></div>	88.2%	15
Landslide	<div></div>	70.6%	12
Terrorism/Violence	<div></div>	82.4%	14
Thunderstorm Wind, Hail, and Tornadoes	<div></div>	64.7%	11
Volcanic Eruption	<div></div>	58.8%	10
<b>Wildfire</b>	<div></div>	<b>94.1%</b>	16
Winter Storms/Avalanche	<div></div>	82.4%	14
	answered question		17
	skipped question		16

Please prioritize the following proposed NEW goals for the State Plan Update by order of importance from the perspective of your jurisdiction (1=highest / 10=lowest):											
	High				Medium					Low	Rating Average
Maximize the Use of Mitigation Actions that Prevent Losses from All Hazards	44.4% (8)	5.6% (1)	27.8% (5)	5.6% (1)	5.6% (1)	0.0% (0)	11.1% (2)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.67
Increase State’s Capability to Provide and Assist Locals with Mitigation Opportunities	41.2% (7)	17.6% (3)	5.9% (1)	0.0% (0)	29.4% (5)	0.0% (0)	5.9% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.82
Reduce the Community Impacts of Wildland and Rangeland Fires	41.2% (7)	17.6% (3)	29.4% (5)	5.9% (1)	5.9% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.18
Mitigate the Potential Loss of Life and Property from Flooding (riverine flooding, ice jams, dam failure)	11.8% (2)	11.8% (2)	0.0% (0)	5.9% (1)	58.8% (10)	5.9% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	5.9% (1)	4.47
Minimize Economic Impacts of Drought	0.0% (0)	11.8% (2)	11.8% (2)	5.9% (1)	41.2% (7)	0.0% (0)	17.6% (3)	5.9% (1)	5.9% (1)	0.0% (0)	5.12
Reduce Impacts from Severe Summer Weather (thunderstorm wind, hail, tornadoes)	5.9% (1)	5.9% (1)	11.8% (2)	5.9% (1)	29.4% (5)	5.9% (1)	5.9% (1)	17.6% (3)	11.8% (2)	0.0% (0)	5.47
Reduce Impacts from Severe Winter Weather (extreme cold, snow, ice)	5.9% (1)	17.6% (3)	0.0% (0)	5.9% (1)	29.4% (5)	0.0% (0)	17.6% (3)	11.8% (2)	11.8% (2)	0.0% (0)	5.35
Reduce Potential Earthquake Losses in Western Montana	5.9% (1)	5.9% (1)	5.9% (1)	11.8% (2)	29.4% (5)	0.0% (0)	17.6% (3)	17.6% (3)	5.9% (1)	0.0% (0)	5.47
Reduce Losses from Hazardous Material Incidents	27.8% (5)	0.0% (0)	22.2% (4)	0.0% (0)	22.2% (4)	5.6% (1)	0.0% (0)	16.7% (3)	0.0% (0)	5.6% (1)	4.28
Encourage Mitigation of Potentially Devastating but Historically Less Frequent Hazards	11.8% (2)	11.8% (2)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	29.4% (5)	0.0% (0)	11.8% (2)	23.5% (4)	11.8% (2)	0.0% (0)	5.59
	answered question										
	skipped question										

Please indicate any additional Goals you think should be added to the State Plan.		
		Response Count
		6
	answered question	6
	skipped question	27

Goal: Maximize the use of mitigation actions that prevent losses from all hazards.											
	High				Medium					Low	Rating Average
Develop GIS databases of hazard risk maps and state buildings and infrastructure to use in mitigation planning	40.0% (6)	6.7% (1)	13.3% (2)	13.3% (2)	13.3% (2)	0.0% (0)	6.7% (1)	6.7% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.13
Conduct Level 1 HAZUS-MH analyses for all Montana counties	6.7% (1)	6.7% (1)	13.3% (2)	26.7% (4)	33.3% (5)	0.0% (0)	6.7% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	6.7% (1)	4.47
Improve Statewide HAZUS data	6.7% (1)	13.3% (2)	26.7% (4)	6.7% (1)	33.3% (5)	0.0% (0)	6.7% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	6.7% (1)	4.20
Determine GPS locations of all State buildings for detailed, non-public analysis	13.3% (2)	33.3% (5)	6.7% (1)	6.7% (1)	26.7% (4)	0.0% (0)	6.7% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	6.7% (1)	3.73
Conduct a non-public hazard assessment that utilizes specific State building locations and infrastructure locations to be used for mitigation actions and homeland security purposes	0.0% (0)	20.0% (3)	20.0% (3)	6.7% (1)	20.0% (3)	0.0% (0)	20.0% (3)	13.3% (2)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	4.73
Promote earth science education of hazards in schools	33.3% (5)	6.7% (1)	6.7% (1)	6.7% (1)	33.3% (5)	0.0% (0)	13.3% (2)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.53
Conduct a Statewide warning capability assessment	26.7% (4)	20.0% (3)	6.7% (1)	20.0% (3)	20.0% (3)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	6.7% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.20
Develop a Statewide All-Hazard Emergency Alert System (EAS) plan	73.3% (11)	0.0% (0)	6.7% (1)	13.3% (2)	6.7% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.80
Continuously improve hazard assessments and the associated evaluation of vulnerabilities from all hazards.	20.0% (3)	13.3% (2)	20.0% (3)	6.7% (1)	40.0% (6)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.33
Increase the public awareness of hazards	26.7% (4)	20.0% (3)	13.3% (2)	13.3% (2)	20.0% (3)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	6.7% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.13
Enable every citizen in Montana to receive critical warning information immediately no matter where he/she is	53.3% (8)	6.7% (1)	6.7% (1)	6.7% (1)	20.0% (3)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	6.7% (1)	2.80
Increase readiness for the protection of life and property during an event	46.7% (7)	20.0% (3)	6.7% (1)	6.7% (1)	20.0% (3)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.33
	answered question										



	<i>skipped question</i>
--	-------------------------

Please write-in any specific mitigation projects related to this goal you feel should be added to the plan.										
										Response Count
										5
	<i>answered question</i>									5
	<i>skipped question</i>									28

Goal: Increase State’s capability to provide and assist locals with mitigation opportunities.											
	High				Medium					Low	Rating Average
Continue outreach of mitigation project funding opportunities	26.7% (4)	13.3% (2)	<b>33.3%</b> <b>(5)</b>	0.0% (0)	20.0% (3)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	6.7% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.07
Provide technical assistance with the environmental review process	6.7% (1)	20.0% (3)	<b>26.7%</b> <b>(4)</b>	0.0% (0)	<b>26.7%</b> <b>(4)</b>	0.0% (0)	6.7% (1)	6.7% (1)	0.0% (0)	6.7% (1)	4.27
Provide technical assistance for project development	13.3% (2)	13.3% (2)	26.7% (4)	6.7% (1)	<b>33.3%</b> <b>(5)</b>	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	6.7% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.67
Create an electronic database of completed mitigation projects in Montana	6.7% (1)	13.3% (2)	6.7% (1)	13.3% (2)	<b>33.3%</b> <b>(5)</b>	6.7% (1)	0.0% (0)	6.7% (1)	6.7% (1)	6.7% (1)	4.93
Increase the scope and participation of the State Hazard Mitigation Team	6.7% (1)	<b>33.3%</b> <b>(5)</b>	13.3% (2)	6.7% (1)	20.0% (3)	0.0% (0)	6.7% (1)	6.7% (1)	0.0% (0)	6.7% (1)	4.07
Create a private advisory group for mitigation	<b>20.0%</b> <b>(3)</b>	13.3% (2)	13.3% (2)	6.7% (1)	13.3% (2)	6.7% (1)	6.7% (1)	6.7% (1)	0.0% (0)	13.3% (2)	4.53
Streamline mitigation standards in state and/or local subdivision regulations	14.3% (2)	21.4% (3)	21.4% (3)	0.0% (0)	<b>28.6%</b> <b>(4)</b>	0.0% (0)	7.1% (1)	7.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.71
Strengthen state and/or local building codes	<b>46.7%</b> <b>(7)</b>	6.7% (1)	26.7% (4)	6.7% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	6.7% (1)	0.0% (0)	6.7% (1)	2.87
Require growth policies consider natural and man-made hazard	<b>40.0%</b> <b>(6)</b>	6.7% (1)	13.3% (2)	6.7% (1)	13.3% (2)	0.0% (0)	6.7% (1)	13.3% (2)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.40
Create a state funded grant program to assist with the 25% match for local governments	<b>33.3%</b> <b>(5)</b>	26.7% (4)	0.0% (0)	6.7% (1)	13.3% (2)	0.0% (0)	6.7% (1)	6.7% (1)	6.7% (1)	0.0% (0)	3.40
Coordinate local plan development	<b>28.6%</b> <b>(4)</b>	0.0% (0)	21.4% (3)	0.0% (0)	<b>28.6%</b> <b>(4)</b>	0.0% (0)	14.3% (2)	7.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.93
Provide technical assistance with											

hazard mapping for rural communities without GIS capabilities	26.7% (4)	13.3% (2)	<b>33.3%</b> <b>(5)</b>	0.0% (0)	6.7% (1)	6.7% (1)	0.0% (0)	6.7% (1)	0.0% (0)	6.7% (1)	3.47
	answered question										
	skipped question										

Please write-in any specific mitigation projects related to this goal you feel should be added to the plan.		
		Response Count
		3
	<i>answered question</i>	3
	<i>skipped question</i>	30

Goal: Mitigate the potential loss of life and property from flooding.											
	High				Medium					Low	Rating Average
Develop and improve upon model floodplain ordinances for local governments	21.4% (3)	14.3% (2)	7.1% (1)	21.4% (3)	<b>28.6%</b> <b>(4)</b>	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	7.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.57
Develop mapping for unmapped flood prone areas	21.4% (3)	<b>28.6%</b> <b>(4)</b>	7.1% (1)	14.3% (2)	14.3% (2)	0.0% (0)	7.1% (1)	7.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.36
Update floodplain mapping of mapped areas	14.3% (2)	<b>28.6%</b> <b>(4)</b>	0.0% (0)	21.4% (3)	21.4% (3)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	7.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	7.1% (1)	3.93
Establish a schedule for NFIP map reviews and updates	7.1% (1)	7.1% (1)	21.4% (3)	7.1% (1)	<b>35.7%</b> <b>(5)</b>	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	7.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	14.3% (2)	4.93
Provide outreach and technical assistance in joining the NFIP Community Rating System for reducing flood insurance premiums	7.1% (1)	14.3% (2)	7.1% (1)	28.6% (4)	<b>35.7%</b> <b>(5)</b>	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	7.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	4.07
Increase the public awareness of flood mitigation	<b>28.6%</b> <b>(4)</b>	21.4% (3)	21.4% (3)	7.1% (1)	14.3% (2)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	7.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.93
Reduce the number of current and future structures in the floodplain	<b>57.1%</b> <b>(8)</b>	7.1% (1)	7.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	14.3% (2)	14.3% (2)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.50
Prevent flooding of structures and infrastructure from inadequate storm drainage and poorly designed irrigation waterways	<b>35.7%</b> <b>(5)</b>	7.1% (1)	21.4% (3)	14.3% (2)	14.3% (2)	7.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.86
Provide adequate warning of flooding events	<b>50.0%</b> <b>(7)</b>	35.7% (5)	14.3% (2)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.64

	<i>answered question</i>
	<i>skipped question</i>

Please write-in any specific mitigation projects related to this goal you feel should be added to the plan.	
	Response Count
	2
	<i>answered question</i> 2
	<i>skipped question</i> 31

Goal: Reduce the community impacts of wildland and rangeland fires.											
	High				Medium					Low	Rating Average
Reduce fuels in the wildland urban interface	64.3% (9)	7.1% (1)	7.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	14.3% (2)	7.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.14
Reduce hazardous fuels in rangeland areas	42.9% (6)	7.1% (1)	7.1% (1)	14.3% (2)	7.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	7.1% (1)	7.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	7.1% (1)	3.50
Accurately assess and address the current wildland urban interface problems at the subdivision level	42.9% (6)	21.4% (3)	14.3% (2)	0.0% (0)	21.4% (3)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.36
Enhance firefighting resources and improve firefighting capabilities	50.0% (7)	7.1% (1)	14.3% (2)	14.3% (2)	14.3% (2)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.36
Enhance community awareness of wildfires through education	71.4% (10)	14.3% (2)	0.0% (0)	7.1% (1)	7.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.64
Enhance effectiveness of response and evacuation	42.9% (6)	14.3% (2)	14.3% (2)	14.3% (2)	14.3% (2)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.43
Establish mapping or record keeping practices to support fuel management strategies	28.6% (4)	21.4% (3)	7.1% (1)	7.1% (1)	35.7% (5)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.00
Minimize human-caused ignition sources in fire-prone areas	35.7% (5)	14.3% (2)	7.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	21.4% (3)	7.1% (1)	7.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	7.1% (1)	3.57
Centralize fire history documentation	21.4% (3)	14.3% (2)	7.1% (1)	7.1% (1)	28.6% (4)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	7.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	14.3% (2)	4.43
Develop a consistent Statewide fire risk assessment system	21.4% (3)	28.6% (4)	14.3% (2)	7.1% (1)	14.3% (2)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	7.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	7.1% (1)	3.50
Encourage sustainable growth in wildland fire hazard areas	30.8% (4)	15.4% (2)	0.0% (0)	7.7% (1)	23.1% (3)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	15.4% (2)	7.7% (1)	0.0% (0)	4.00

	<i>answered question</i>
	<i>skipped question</i>

Please write-in any specific mitigation projects related to this goal you feel should be added to the plan.		
		Response Count
		4
	<i>answered question</i>	4
	<i>skipped question</i>	29

Goal: Reduce potential earthquake losses in Western Montana.											
	High				Medium					Low	Rating Average
Goal: Reduce potential earthquake losses in Western Montana.	14.3% (2)	21.4% (3)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	<b>28.6%</b> <b>(4)</b>	14.3% (2)	7.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	14.3% (2)	4.79
Provide greater enforcement of current building codes	14.3% (2)	<b>28.6%</b> <b>(4)</b>	<b>28.6%</b> <b>(4)</b>	0.0% (0)	21.4% (3)	0.0% (0)	7.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.14
Develop model seismic building codes	0.0% (0)	28.6% (4)	14.3% (2)	0.0% (0)	<b>35.7%</b> <b>(5)</b>	7.1% (1)	7.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	7.1% (1)	4.43
Create stronger building standards for critical facilities and structures housing vulnerable populations	<b>28.6%</b> <b>(4)</b>	7.1% (1)	14.3% (2)	7.1% (1)	21.4% (3)	7.1% (1)	7.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	7.1% (1)	3.86
Require earthquake drills in schools in Western Montana	<b>35.7%</b> <b>(5)</b>	21.4% (3)	14.3% (2)	7.1% (1)	14.3% (2)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	7.1% (1)	2.93
Expand and upgrade earthquake monitoring network and reporting capabilities	7.1% (1)	<b>28.6%</b> <b>(4)</b>	14.3% (2)	21.4% (3)	<b>28.6%</b> <b>(4)</b>	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.36
Continue “Earthquake Preparedness Month” outreach activities during the month of October	7.1% (1)	21.4% (3)	7.1% (1)	14.3% (2)	<b>35.7%</b> <b>(5)</b>	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	7.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	7.1% (1)	4.36
Implement non-structural mitigation projects to harden State and community infrastructure from seismic hazards	14.3% (2)	7.1% (1)	21.4% (3)	14.3% (2)	<b>42.9%</b> <b>(6)</b>	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.64
Seismically retrofit existing critical facilities and government assets	14.3% (2)	7.1% (1)	21.4% (3)	0.0% (0)	<b>35.7%</b> <b>(5)</b>	14.3% (2)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	7.1% (1)	4.29
	<i>answered question</i>										

	<i>skipped question</i>
--	-------------------------

Please write-in any specific mitigation projects related to this goal you feel should be added to the plan.		
		Response Count
		0
	<i>answered question</i>	0
	<i>skipped question</i>	33

Goal: Minimize economic impacts of drought.											
	High				Medium					Low	Rating Average
Develop a system for distributing information on current conditions	14.3% (2)	7.1% (1)	<b>28.6%</b> <b>(4)</b>	14.3% (2)	14.3% (2)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	21.4% (3)	4.57
Continue to support the State Drought Advisory Committee	<b>21.4%</b> <b>(3)</b>	14.3% (2)	<b>21.4%</b> <b>(3)</b>	7.1% (1)	7.1% (1)	14.3% (2)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	14.3% (2)	4.07
Install Statewide drought monitoring stations	14.3% (2)	<b>21.4%</b> <b>(3)</b>	14.3% (2)	7.1% (1)	14.3% (2)	7.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	<b>21.4%</b> <b>(3)</b>	4.57
Use long-term groundwater monitoring to assess drought conditions	14.3% (2)	<b>28.6%</b> <b>(4)</b>	21.4% (3)	7.1% (1)	7.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	7.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	14.3% (2)	3.93
Educate farmers and ranchers in fiscally preventing drought losses	<b>42.9%</b> <b>(6)</b>	21.4% (3)	21.4% (3)	0.0% (0)	7.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	7.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.43
Educate farmers and ranchers in reducing physical losses during dry seasons	<b>28.6%</b> <b>(4)</b>	<b>28.6%</b> <b>(4)</b>	21.4% (3)	0.0% (0)	7.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	7.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	7.1% (1)	3.14
Identify water retention projects that could lessen the effects of drought	<b>42.9%</b> <b>(6)</b>	28.6% (4)	14.3% (2)	0.0% (0)	7.1% (1)	7.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.21
	<i>answered question</i>										
	<i>skipped question</i>										

Please write-in any specific mitigation projects related to this goal you feel should be added to the plan.											
											Response Count
											2
	answered question										2
	skipped question										31

Goal: Reduce impacts from severe winter weather.												
	High				Medium					Low	Rating Average	F
Distribute winter driving and survival tips	14.3% (2)	<b>28.6%</b> <b>(4)</b>	14.3% (2)	0.0% (0)	<b>28.6%</b> <b>(4)</b>	7.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	7.1% (1)	3.71	
Increase public awareness of winter weather hazards	21.4% (3)	14.3% (2)	<b>35.7%</b> <b>(5)</b>	0.0% (0)	21.4% (3)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	7.1% (1)	3.36	
Create partnerships with utility companies and negotiate for shorten span distances between power poles to better withstand snow loads and severe storms	7.1% (1)	14.3% (2)	21.4% (3)	14.3% (2)	7.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	7.1% (1)	<b>28.6%</b> <b>(4)</b>	5.43	
Improve communication between emergency response personnel and road departments to facilitate coordination during extreme weather	<b>42.9%</b> <b>(6)</b>	21.4% (3)	21.4% (3)	0.0% (0)	14.3% (2)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.21	
Structurally analyze all buildings or rooms identified as shelters and strengthen these as necessary	14.3% (2)	14.3% (2)	21.4% (3)	7.1% (1)	<b>28.6%</b> <b>(4)</b>	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	7.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	7.1% (1)	4.07	
	answered question											
	skipped question											

Please write-in any specific mitigation projects related to this goal you feel should be added to the plan.											
											Response Count
											1
	answered question										1
	skipped question										32

Goal: Reduce impacts from Severe Summer Weather (thunderstorms, wind, hail, tornadoes)												
	High				Medium					Low	Rating Average	R
Install safety film on critical facilities to prevent shattering glass.	0.0% (0)	14.3% (2)	28.6% (4)	14.3% (2)	21.4% (3)	7.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	14.3% (2)	4.64	
Encourage development and enforcement of wind resistant buildings and construction codes	7.7% (1)	7.7% (1)	38.5% (5)	0.0% (0)	30.8% (4)	7.7% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	7.7% (1)	4.15	
Develop and implement programs to keep trees from threatening lives, property and public infrastructure during windstorm events	7.1% (1)	14.3% (2)	14.3% (2)	14.3% (2)	35.7% (5)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	7.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	7.1% (1)	4.43	
	answered question											
	skipped question											

Please write-in any specific mitigation projects related to this goal you feel should be added to the plan.		
		Response Count
		0
	answered question	0
	skipped question	33

Goal: Reduce losses from Hazardous Material Incidents												
	High				Medium					Low	Rating Average	Res C
Develop communication plan for hazardous material emergencies	42.9% (6)	35.7% (5)	14.3% (2)	0.0% (0)	7.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.93	
Enhance information capability on types of hazardous materials traveling transportation routes	42.9% (6)	21.4% (3)	14.3% (2)	0.0% (0)	7.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	7.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	7.1% (1)	2.86	
Provide hazardous material training to emergency responders	42.9% (6)	42.9% (6)	14.3% (2)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.71	
Develop evacuation procedures for homes near transportation networks that commonly carry hazardous materials	42.9% (6)	35.7% (5)	7.1% (1)	7.1% (1)	7.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.00	
	answered question											
	skipped question											

Please write-in any specific mitigation projects related to this goal you feel should be added to the plan.		
		Response Count
		0
	answered question	0
	skipped question	33



Goal: Encourage mitigation of potentially devastating but historically less frequent hazards.											
	High				Medium					Low	Rating Average
Identify and map areas of greatest landslide and avalanche potential	0.0% (0)	7.1% (1)	14.3% (2)	0.0% (0)	<b>42.9%</b> <b>(6)</b>	14.3% (2)	7.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	14.3% (2)	5.50
Create a landslide/avalanche technical committee	0.0% (0)	7.1% (1)	21.4% (3)	7.1% (1)	21.4% (3)	7.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	7.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	<b>28.6%</b> <b>(4)</b>	6.00
Support the mitigation related goals, objectives, and actions of the Montana Homeland Security Strategic Plan	14.3% (2)	7.1% (1)	<b>21.4%</b> <b>(3)</b>	7.1% (1)	<b>21.4%</b> <b>(3)</b>	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	14.3% (2)	0.0% (0)	14.3% (2)	4.86
Reduce losses from communicable disease	21.4% (3)	<b>28.6%</b> <b>(4)</b>	7.1% (1)	7.1% (1)	14.3% (2)	7.1% (1)	7.1% (1)	7.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.50
Increase awareness of risks from communicable disease	<b>35.7%</b> <b>(5)</b>	28.6% (4)	7.1% (1)	7.1% (1)	14.3% (2)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	7.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.71
	answered question										
	skipped question										

Please write-in any specific mitigation projects related to this goal you feel should be added to the plan.		
		Response Count
		0
	answered question	0
	skipped question	33

Please indicate how long it took you to complete the survey.			
		Response Percent	Response Count
5 minutes		0.0%	0
10 minutes	<div></div>	28.6%	4
15 minutes	<div></div>	21.4%	3
20 minutes	<div></div>	14.3%	2
30 minutes	<div></div>	28.6%	4
Greater than 30 minutes	<div></div>	7.1%	1
	answered question		14
	skipped question		19

## District 1 On-Line Survey - Other Jurisdictions Completing Survey

[close window](#)












Displaying 1 - 10 of 10 responses

&lt;&lt; Prev

Next &gt;&gt;

Jump To: 1

Go &gt;&gt;

Comment Text		Response Date
 Find	1. fire district	Wed, 6/20/07 9:30 AM
 Find	2. American Red Cross of Montana	Wed, 6/6/07 1:12 PM
 Find	3. Red Cross	Tue, 5/29/07 3:00 PM
 Find	4. Private Business	Fri, 5/25/07 8:09 AM
 Find	5. local gov't: fire district	Thu, 5/24/07 10:45 AM
 Find	6. Volunteer Ambulance Supervisor	Thu, 5/24/07 10:12 AM
 Find	7. municipal	Wed, 5/23/07 5:29 PM
 Find	8. Municipality	Wed, 5/23/07 3:44 PM
 Find	9. City	Wed, 5/23/07 2:22 PM
 Find	10. University	Wed, 5/23/07 1:41 PM
		10 responses per page 

## District 1 On-Line Survey - Suggested Improvements to State Plan

close window











Displaying 1 - 10 of 10 responses

&lt;&lt; Prev

Next &gt;&gt;

Jump To: 1

Go &gt;&gt;

Comment Text	Response Date
 Find 1. It is not a plan. It only identified hazards to be mitigated. There is no assignment of tasks to specific agencies. The report does not include local fire districts as first responders or mitigators (inclusion of state organizations such as the Fire Chiefs Association or FF Association does not count; these groups do not represent the chiefs or firefighters.)	Wed, 6/20/07 9:32 AM
 Find 2. liuglglf	Tue, 6/5/07 9:25 AM
 Find 3. none.	Tue, 5/29/07 3:01 PM
 Find 4. none.	Tue, 5/29/07 3:00 PM
 Find 5. It only identifies risks and doesn't really address mitigation. There is NO coverage of response. Local fire districts are not included in response planning.	Thu, 5/24/07 10:48 AM
 Find 6. 1. Increased emphasis on working County and State working more closely with your Federal counterparts that are already trained and experienced in the different areas of Hazards utilize the expertise and federal funding. 2. Increased emphasis on mitigation measure that can be taken by the general public in advance - and increase awareness among our state and federal congressional staff - for funding of mitigation.	Thu, 5/24/07 10:25 AM
 Find 7. add infectious disease (pandemic influenza, smallpox, etc) as a natural hazard. Hazard Table. When assigning a value to each hazard based on potential to impact people & property, list frequency on a different table so not to artificially elevate one hazard over another	Wed, 5/23/07 8:34 PM
 Find 8. Additional contact information for local governmental agencies.	Wed, 5/23/07 3:44 PM
 Find 9. More detailed assessments of hazards at the local (county) level will change the portrayed hazards for the state.	Wed, 5/23/07 2:57 PM
 Find 10. In my opinion the ranking of hazards is inaccurate. The problem lies with probability (frequency) vs. severity (loss potential) and what individuals consider to be a "risk". I think it would be helpful to provide a clear definition or standardized guidelines in order to get more accurate feedback. I also have some concerns with the background or expertise of those providing input on the plan. From what I have witnessed, input from individuals with no experience in emergency response or hazard mitigation carries the same weight as input from local fire chiefs and other public safety officials. In my opinion, this impacts the validity of the hazards and risk rankings.	Wed, 5/23/07 2:45 PM
10 responses per page	

## Distict 1 On-Line Survey - Suggested New Goals

close window







Displaying 1 - 6 of 6 responses

&lt;&lt; Prev

Next &gt;&gt;

Jump To: 1

Go &gt;&gt;

Comment Text	Response Date
 Find 1. I feel that the state should look at the economics of fire suppression. Outsourcing fire suppression to a private enterprise will prove to be more expensive in the future. In the past you needed dry conditions and an ignition source to start a forest fire. With the evolution of fire suppression being big business to the private sector, we now only need dry conditions to ensure a very active fire season. Human caused fires are at an all time high and I feel the government will need to take the suppression effort back into their own hands.	Mon, 6/11/07 12:58 PM
 Find 2. There should be a real push to empower communities to be as self-sufficient as possible on many levels, including food production, sustainable energy alternatives, and drinkable water supply in addition to just mitigating for possible disasters. There is a root to the root of the problems that come with coping with and recovering from disasters, and they should be included in the decision making process. Also, the Red Cross has developed a school curriculum called Masters of Disaster ( <a href="http://www.redcross.org/disaster/masters">www.redcross.org/disaster/masters</a> ) that addresses possible disasters in Montana and what students K-8 can do to help prepare their families for such emergency situations. It is a comprehensive curriculum that incorporates teaching standards so that it can be plugged in to existing educational goals in language arts, math, science, and social studies. Another component of this program is called Facing Fear, and gives teachers K-12 tools to help students and their families cope with disastrous events, both natural and man-made, no matter how far away such events occurred.	Thu, 6/7/07 8:28 AM
 Find 3. Increase cooperation with Federal Agencies already trained in All Hazard Risk and Incident Command structure teams. Increase funding mechanisms to allow immediate use of the Federal Command Teams.	Thu, 5/24/07 12:22 PM
 Find 4. wild life mitigation	Thu, 5/24/07 7:40 AM
 Find 5. Prepare for potential impacts of pandemic influenza outbreak.	Wed, 5/23/07 3:08 PM
 Find 6. Develop executive orders that suspend the normal "standards of care" in a pandemic. Create a State Task Force to examine and provide ethical guidance to health care providers and institutions in a pandemic situation.	Wed, 5/23/07 1:52 PM
10 responses per page	

## District 1 On-Line Survey - Additional Mitigation Projects for Goal 1

[close window](#)







Displaying 1 - 5 of 5 responses

&lt;&lt; Prev

Next &gt;&gt;

Jump To: 1

Go &gt;&gt;

Comment Text		Response Date
 Find	1. Masters of Disaster, a comprehensive curriculum developed by specialists and provided by the American Red Cross. More information can be obtained by visiting the website: <a href="http://www.redcross.org/disaster/masters">www.redcross.org/disaster/masters</a> or by calling the Missoula office at 549-6441.	Thu, 6/7/07 8:43 AM
 Find	2. People should be forced/encouraged to start taking their own responsibility and ownership for their own safety and well-being. It is not the job of the FED/STATE/ CO government alone to try and make people safe from fire, flood, etc. Provide opportunities for folks to learn how to protect themselves and then expect them to do it!	Wed, 5/30/07 1:25 PM
 Find	3. Better communication methods on a state wide basis; funding for all branches of EMS to be able to provide first responders with Radios and communication tools in event of disaster.	Thu, 5/24/07 12:26 PM
 Find	4. Promote citizen self sufficiency and educate public on limitations of government's ability to respond.	Wed, 5/23/07 3:19 PM
 Find	5. fuel reduction in the forests. Selective harvest and thinning.	Wed, 5/23/07 1:56 PM
		10 responses per page 

## District 1 On-Line Survey - Additional Mitigation Projects for Goal 2

[close window](#)





Displaying 1 - 3 of 3 responses

&lt;&lt; Prev

Next &gt;&gt;

Jump To: 1

Go &gt;&gt;

Comment Text		Response Date
 Find	1. FUNDING FUNDING FUNDING - assist small local groups with funding for Hazard Mitigation before the disaster occurs.	Thu, 5/24/07 12:26 PM
 Find	2. Provide funding to map the numerous unrecognized floodplains across the State.	Wed, 5/23/07 3:08 PM
 Find	3. fuel reduction in the forests	Wed, 5/23/07 1:56 PM
		10 responses per page 

## District 1 On-Line Survey - Other Drought Mitigation Projects

[close window](#)




Displaying 1 - 2 of 2 responses

&lt;&lt; Prev

Next &gt;&gt;

Jump To: 1

Go &gt;&gt;

Comment Text		Response Date
 Find	1. By "educate" farmers and ranchers, I hope that you intend to listen to them, as well, as they've been doing this for quite some time. I would be more inclined to spend money on scientific research and in working with farmers on site than to fund x number of committees and other groups that may be more "fluff" than proactive bodies that get things done.	Thu, 6/7/07 9:08 AM
 Find	2. Make flood irrigation illegal.	Wed, 5/30/07 1:30 PM
		10 responses per page 



## District 1 On-Line Survey - Other Flood Mitiation Projects

[close window](#)




Displaying 1 - 2 of 2 responses

&lt;&lt; Prev

Next &gt;&gt;

Jump To: 1

Go &gt;&gt;

Comment Text		Response Date
 Find	1. I know that people along the Blackfoot corridor were upset at the ordinance restricting future development in the floodplain. Because of that reaction, there needs to be more of an effort to include the public in all of these mitigation processes, and to make more of an effort to educate the public on why these decisions are made that essentially limit landowner's freedoms, which is a big deal in Montana.	Thu, 6/7/07 9:02 AM
 Find	2. Provide planning assistance to local responders	Thu, 5/24/07 11:19 AM
		10 responses per page 

## District 1 On-Line Survey - Other Wildfire Mitigation Projects

[close window](#)






Displaying 1 - 4 of 4 responses

&lt;&lt; Prev

Next &gt;&gt;

Jump To: 1

Go &gt;&gt;

Comment Text		Response Date
 Find	1. mitigate making fire supression big business or we will never win the battle against wildfires.	Mon, 6/11/07 1:11 PM
 Find	2. As long as there is a wildland/urban interface, there will be wildfire problems. Instead of spending so much time and resources on fuels reduction, it seems more reasonable to firmly limit development possibilities in such areas. I know that people want to live in these beautiful areas, but money does not grow on trees and our resources are limited. I think the money that was put towards fuels reduction could be shifted to fund education efforts and to pass legislation limiting development in forested, fire-prone areas.	Thu, 6/7/07 9:02 AM
 Find	3. Emphasize Shelter in place (Prepare, Stay, and Defend)	Thu, 5/24/07 11:19 AM
 Find	4. Assist local fire jurisdictions with wildfire response and fuel mitigation efforts.	Wed, 5/23/07 3:30 PM
		10 responses per page 

## District 1 On-Line Survey - Other Winter Weather Mitigation Projects

[close window](#)



Displaying 1 - 1 of 1 responses

&lt;&lt; Prev

Next &gt;&gt;

Jump To: 1

Go &gt;&gt;

Comment Text		Response Date
 Find	1. Have utilities put there equipment underground in areas that are hit by extreme weather.	Thu, 5/24/07 8:02 AM
		10 responses per page 

## District 1 On-Line Survey-Impact of Future Development on Communicable Disease

[close window](#)
















Displaying 1 - 14 of 14 responses

&lt;&lt; Prev

Next &gt;&gt;

Jump To: 1

Go &gt;&gt;

Comment Text		Response Date
 Find	1. Septic systems in county, pharmaceuticals	Thu, 6/21/07 9:40 AM
 Find	2. It will increase the possability	Mon, 6/11/07 12:49 PM
 Find	3. Montana's isolated clusters of population may become more connected with increased development, which would affect the ability for disease to spread	Thu, 6/7/07 8:20 AM
 Find	4. communicable disease spreads more readily in densely populated areas	Wed, 6/6/07 8:19 AM
 Find	5. high	Mon, 6/4/07 8:02 AM
 Find	6. More people, more easier for things to spread	Wed, 5/30/07 1:17 PM
 Find	7. Critical - Hamilton Hospital could easily be maxed to capacity. Current Hospital based ambulance will be stretched to it's limits if not overwhelmed. Volunteer Services in outlying areas overwhelmed	Thu, 5/24/07 12:19 PM
 Find	8. No change	Thu, 5/24/07 10:58 AM
 Find	9. pandemic could occur	Thu, 5/24/07 9:41 AM
 Find	10. LOW	Thu, 5/24/07 7:36 AM
 Find	11. increased with denser populations	Thu, 5/24/07 4:23 AM
 Find	12. Increase	Wed, 5/23/07 3:47 PM
 Find	13. Increased population would increase the impact.	Wed, 5/23/07 2:57 PM
 Find	14. more development more people that live here that will get sick	Wed, 5/23/07 1:49 PM
		25 responses per page 

## District 1 On-Line Survey-Impact of Future Development on Drought

[close window](#)
















Displaying 1 - 15 of 15 responses

&lt;&lt; Prev

Next &gt;&gt;

Jump To: 1

Go &gt;&gt;

Comment Text		Response Date
 Find	1. Will continue to be more prevalent with global warming	Fri, 6/15/07 9:31 AM
 Find	2. not much impact	Mon, 6/11/07 12:49 PM
 Find	3. Droughts leading to wildfire I think will be more significant than the droughts themselves at this point	Thu, 6/7/07 8:20 AM
 Find	4. more people, homes & businesses require more water	Wed, 6/6/07 8:19 AM
 Find	5. high	Mon, 6/4/07 8:02 AM
 Find	6. More people, less water and in a drought, that would be compounded	Wed, 5/30/07 1:17 PM
 Find	7. becoming less an issue with decline of agriculture	Wed, 5/30/07 11:19 AM
 Find	8. no impact	Thu, 5/24/07 12:19 PM
 Find	9. No change	Thu, 5/24/07 10:58 AM
 Find	10. economic	Thu, 5/24/07 9:41 AM
 Find	11. High	Thu, 5/24/07 7:36 AM
 Find	12. increased with additional development	Thu, 5/24/07 4:23 AM
 Find	13. Increase	Wed, 5/23/07 3:47 PM
 Find	14. Lessening as agricultural activity diminishes in our area.	Wed, 5/23/07 2:57 PM
 Find	15. More people use more water	Wed, 5/23/07 1:49 PM

25 responses per page

## District 1 On-Line Survey-Impact of Future Development on Earthquake

[close window](#)

















Displaying 1 - 15 of 15 responses

&lt;&lt; Prev

Next &gt;&gt;

Jump To: 1

Go &gt;&gt;

Comment Text		Response Date
 Find	1. Damage to distribution systems, storage tanks, booster stations, WWTP facility	Thu, 6/21/07 9:40 AM
 Find	2. not much impact	Mon, 6/11/07 12:49 PM
 Find	3. With several towns on fault lines, earthquakes are a major concern of the Red Cross	Thu, 6/7/07 8:20 AM
 Find	4. more people, homes & businesses along fault lines increase potential for damage, death & injury	Wed, 6/6/07 8:19 AM
 Find	5. high	Mon, 6/4/07 8:02 AM
 Find	6. Pretty low here - more houses, more distruction	Wed, 5/30/07 1:17 PM
 Find	7. no developemental impact	Wed, 5/30/07 11:19 AM
 Find	8. Could severly impact routes and methods to transport pts and supplies along HWY 93.	Thu, 5/24/07 12:19 PM
 Find	9. Some increased risk	Thu, 5/24/07 10:58 AM
 Find	10. more people to care for	Thu, 5/24/07 9:41 AM
 Find	11. Higher	Thu, 5/24/07 7:36 AM
 Find	12. ncreased with additional development near faultlines	Thu, 5/24/07 4:23 AM
 Find	13. No Change	Wed, 5/23/07 3:47 PM
 Find	14. Risk will remain with areas of older construction as modern structures built to seizmic standards.	Wed, 5/23/07 2:57 PM
 Find	15. More people and buildings means more injury and damage	Wed, 5/23/07 1:49 PM
		25 responses per page 

## District 1 On-Line Survey-Impact of Future Development on Landslide Hazard

[close window](#)














Displaying 1 - 12 of 12 responses

&lt;&lt; Prev

Next &gt;&gt;

Jump To: 1

Go &gt;&gt;

Comment Text		Response Date
 Find	1. not much impact	Mon, 6/11/07 12:49 PM
 Find	2. ?	Thu, 6/7/07 8:20 AM
 Find	3. deforestation increases opportunity for landslides	Wed, 6/6/07 8:19 AM
 Find	4. medium	Mon, 6/4/07 8:02 AM
 Find	5. Not a lot of risk - low rainfall - probably not a problem unless some builder gets stupid	Wed, 5/30/07 1:17 PM
 Find	6. improvements of highway could actually mitigate	Wed, 5/30/07 11:19 AM
 Find	7. Large exposure due to impact on highway access	Thu, 5/24/07 10:58 AM
 Find	8. keeps going up	Thu, 5/24/07 7:36 AM
 Find	9. stripping vegetation to allow development increases the probability of landslides	Thu, 5/24/07 4:23 AM
 Find	10. No Change	Wed, 5/23/07 3:47 PM
 Find	11. May increase as hillside development continues	Wed, 5/23/07 2:57 PM
 Find	12. If development is placed on unstable ground there will be more damage	Wed, 5/23/07 1:49 PM
		25 responses per page 

## District 1 On-Line Survey-Impact of Future Development on Severe Summer Storms

[close window](#)













Displaying 1 - 11 of 11 responses

&lt;&lt; Prev

Next &gt;&gt;

Jump To: 1

Go &gt;&gt;

Comment Text		Response Date
 Find	1. Lightning strikes impacting power to water and sewer systems. Some generator backup, but not everywhere.	Thu, 6/21/07 9:40 AM
 Find	2. no impact	Mon, 6/11/07 12:49 PM
 Find	3. Global climate change will continue to produce more intense storm cells	Thu, 6/7/07 8:20 AM
 Find	4. medium	Mon, 6/4/07 8:02 AM
 Find	5. Global warming could increase this - more houses, more impacts	Wed, 5/30/07 1:17 PM
 Find	6. No change	Thu, 5/24/07 10:58 AM
 Find	7. will effect more people	Thu, 5/24/07 9:41 AM
 Find	8. more people the hazards go up	Thu, 5/24/07 7:36 AM
 Find	9. No Change	Wed, 5/23/07 3:47 PM
 Find	10. Likely to increase with climate change and expansion of infrastructure to new developments.	Wed, 5/23/07 2:57 PM
 Find	11. More development/people means more opportunities for damage	Wed, 5/23/07 1:49 PM
		25 responses per page 



## District 1 On-Line Survey-Impact of Future Development on Terrorism Hazard

[close window](#)
















Displaying 1 - 14 of 14 responses

&lt;&lt; Prev

Next &gt;&gt;

Jump To: 1

Go &gt;&gt;

Comment Text		Response Date
 Find	1. Low probability due to limited effects on population (size), but possible violent actions to distribution system, booster stations, wells, storage tanks, and sewer lift stations would have severe impact due to limited backup and rerouting.	Thu, 6/21/07 9:40 AM
 Find	2. not much impact	Mon, 6/11/07 12:49 PM
 Find	3. As the population grows and more technological industry is brought to Montana, there may be a growing number of desirable targets for terrorists	Thu, 6/7/07 8:20 AM
 Find	4. any economic center can be the target of terrorists. Eco-terrorism is alive and well in Missoula County.	Wed, 6/6/07 8:19 AM
 Find	5. high	Mon, 6/4/07 8:02 AM
 Find	6. We have the lab and more development makes for better targets for the terooists	Wed, 5/30/07 1:17 PM
 Find	7. violence incidents to increase with population	Wed, 5/30/07 11:19 AM
 Find	8. Increased risk with 2 Level 4 Bio Labs in the valley 12 miles away	Thu, 5/24/07 12:19 PM
 Find	9. No change	Thu, 5/24/07 10:58 AM
 Find	10. could increase	Thu, 5/24/07 9:41 AM
 Find	11. same	Thu, 5/24/07 7:36 AM
 Find	12. Increase	Wed, 5/23/07 3:47 PM
 Find	13. I don't see Missoula as a likely target for terrorism.	Wed, 5/23/07 2:57 PM
 Find	14. Montana is unlikely to be a target of outside terrorism but more development/people may mean more kooks or not	Wed, 5/23/07 1:49 PM
		25 responses per page 

## District 1 On-Line Survey-Impact of Future Development on Volcanic Eruption Hazard

[close window](#)












Displaying 1 - 10 of 10 responses

&lt;&lt; Prev

Next &gt;&gt;

Jump To: 1

Go &gt;&gt;

Comment Text		Response Date
 Find	1. no impact	Mon, 6/11/07 12:49 PM
 Find	2. If the Yellowstone caldera blows we're all going down, and in all seriousness, with the trend in migration westward, such a catastrophe will mean more and more casualties as more folks come to live near the caldera region.	Thu, 6/7/07 8:20 AM
 Find	3. all equal opportunity disaster...	Wed, 6/6/07 8:19 AM
 Find	4. low	Mon, 6/4/07 8:02 AM
 Find	5. not too likely unless Yellowstone blew it's top - more folks would mean more impacts	Wed, 5/30/07 1:17 PM
 Find	6. Unable to determine	Thu, 5/24/07 10:58 AM
 Find	7. none	Thu, 5/24/07 7:36 AM
 Find	8. No Change	Wed, 5/23/07 3:47 PM
 Find	9. Unlikely, but would have widespread and catostrophic result.	Wed, 5/23/07 2:57 PM
 Find	10. Depends on where the eruption is	Wed, 5/23/07 1:49 PM
		10 responses per page 

## District 1 On-Line Survey-Impact of Future Development on Wildfire Hazard

[close window](#)


















Displaying 1 - 16 of 16 responses

&lt;&lt; Prev

Next &gt;&gt;

Jump To: 1

Go &gt;&gt;

Comment Text		Response Date
 Find	1. Potential impact to outlying areas of system, but most areas around city of Hamilton are open and not at risk. Potential damage to WWTP from wildfire along river, as well as storage tank on outskirts of city.	Thu, 6/21/07 9:40 AM
 Find	2. high impact with more and more people living in the interface	Mon, 6/11/07 12:49 PM
 Find	3. With warmer years and less snowpack, and a build up of fuels from years of preventative management tactics, we could have some very serious forest fires. With an increase in affluent migrants from other parts of the country building homes on the wildland/urban interface and without much knowledge of Rocky Mountain ecological processes, the elements are in place for serious conflict between fire and people.	Thu, 6/7/07 8:20 AM
 Find	4. more people, homes & businesses encroaching into wooded areas without proper protective measures increases the risk of damage caused by wildfire	Wed, 6/6/07 8:19 AM
 Find	5. high	Mon, 6/4/07 8:02 AM
 Find	6. Potential is high here and with more folks in the interface, more likely to have losses.	Wed, 5/30/07 1:17 PM
 Find	7. encroachment of forest interface =more evac/protection plans	Wed, 5/30/07 11:19 AM
 Find	8. Extreme Impacts with increased population base moving next to forest boundary in WUI areas	Thu, 5/24/07 12:19 PM
 Find	9. Very large increase in exposure	Thu, 5/24/07 10:58 AM
 Find	10. tremendous impact	Thu, 5/24/07 9:41 AM
 Find	11. more people moving to the woods	Thu, 5/24/07 7:36 AM
 Find	12. increased as homes encroach upon wild	Thu, 5/24/07 4:23 AM
 Find	13. Increase	Wed, 5/23/07 3:47 PM
 Find	14. Significant growth is taking place in the wildland urban interface which is a significant hazard in the valley. Zoning is necessary to reduce the risks of this risky development.	Wed, 5/23/07 3:04 PM
 Find	15. Risk increases as development continues in interface areas.	Wed, 5/23/07 2:57 PM
 Find	16. If no efforts are made to require defensible space and fuel reduction/thinning doesn't occur then we will continue to experience the same	Wed, 5/23/07 1:49 PM
		25 responses per page 

## District 1 On-Line Survey-Impact of Future Development on Hazardous Material Incidents

close window

















Displaying 1 - 15 of 15 responses

&lt;&lt; Prev

Next &gt;&gt;

Jump To: 1

Go &gt;&gt;

Comment Text		Response Date
 Find	1. Impact to distribution system for water and storm drainage, plus agricultural water district ditches	Thu, 6/21/07 9:40 AM
 Find	2. increased traffic and population will play a role	Mon, 6/11/07 12:49 PM
 Find	3. I think this depends on the fluxuation of the amount of hazardous material transported to or through Missoula and the amount of future development along these corridors	Thu, 6/7/07 8:20 AM
 Find	4. several railroads run through Missoula county and carry a myraid of hazardous materials.	Wed, 6/6/07 8:19 AM
 Find	5. low	Mon, 6/4/07 8:02 AM
 Find	6. More people, more chances of exposure,	Wed, 5/30/07 1:17 PM
 Find	7. increased traffic potential for more occurences	Wed, 5/30/07 11:19 AM
 Find	8. Slight increase	Thu, 5/24/07 10:58 AM
 Find	9. more people to protect	Thu, 5/24/07 9:41 AM
 Find	10. keeps going up	Thu, 5/24/07 7:36 AM
 Find	11. already high in urban areas and along rr tracks	Thu, 5/24/07 4:23 AM
 Find	12. Increase	Wed, 5/23/07 3:47 PM
 Find	13. The Rocky Mountain Lab and Glaxo Smith Kline facilities are situated in relatively high density area and are concerningly close to the floodplain.	Wed, 5/23/07 3:04 PM
 Find	14. Impact will increase as population densities increase	Wed, 5/23/07 2:57 PM
 Find	15. More development/people means more chemicals and opportunities for exposure	Wed, 5/23/07 1:49 PM
		25 responses per page 

## District 1 On-Line Survey-Impact of Future Development on Flooding

[close window](#)


















Displaying 1 - 16 of 16 responses

&lt;&lt; Prev

Next &gt;&gt;

Jump To: 1

Go &gt;&gt;

Comment Text		Response Date
 Find	1. Low chance of flooding in Biteroot, possible impact to WWTP, but low probability	Thu, 6/21/07 9:40 AM
 Find	2. not much impact	Mon, 6/11/07 12:49 PM
 Find	3. As long as development continues to be restricted along floodplain areas, this shouldn't be a huge issue. Our main concern is losing a bridge that may strand a community like Hamilton	Thu, 6/7/07 8:20 AM
 Find	4. more people, homes & businesses within the 100 year flood plain increases opportunity for life & property loss	Wed, 6/6/07 8:19 AM
 Find	5. high	Mon, 6/4/07 8:02 AM
 Find	6. Pretty low here - need to stay out of the flood plain	Wed, 5/30/07 1:17 PM
 Find	7. require more resources	Wed, 5/30/07 11:19 AM
 Find	8. Possible loss of bridges connecting small rural community with larger areas - Missoula and Hamilton	Thu, 5/24/07 12:19 PM
 Find	9. Significant increase in exposure	Thu, 5/24/07 10:58 AM
 Find	10. more damage to life and property	Thu, 5/24/07 9:41 AM
 Find	11. people building in low lying areas	Thu, 5/24/07 7:36 AM
 Find	12. ncreased with additional development in flood plains	Thu, 5/24/07 4:23 AM
 Find	13. No Change	Wed, 5/23/07 3:47 PM
 Find	14. Uncontrolled development in floodplains and dam inundation areas will critically disrupt routine activities and could result in many casualties.	Wed, 5/23/07 3:04 PM
 Find	15. Risk will increase as more structures are built in flood prone areas.	Wed, 5/23/07 2:57 PM
 Find	16. More people living near the water means more damage from floods and dam failure	Wed, 5/23/07 1:49 PM
		25 responses per page 

## District 1 On-Line Survey-Impact of Future Development on Severe Winter Weather

[close window](#)















Displaying 1 - 14 of 14 responses

&lt;&lt; Prev

Next &gt;&gt;

Jump To: 1

Go &gt;&gt;

Comment Text		Response Date
 Find	1. Impacts to WWTP likely if storm is severe. Extreme low temperatures could affect lift stations, pumps, and distribution lines, plus possible power outages.	Thu, 6/21/07 9:40 AM
 Find	2. May become less prevalent in the valleys with global warming	Fri, 6/15/07 9:31 AM
 Find	3. no impact	Mon, 6/11/07 12:49 PM
 Find	4. While our climate is warming, the capacity for more intense winter storms is also there. It seems as though these storms are mainly dropping snowfall at elevations above the Missoula valley floor, climate seems unpredictable at this juncture. With our limited highway system in Montana, if I-90 is impassable to Alberton, those Mineral County population centers could be stranded.	Thu, 6/7/07 8:20 AM
 Find	5. medium	Mon, 6/4/07 8:02 AM
 Find	6. Not a big problem here - more winter users might make for more problems.	Wed, 5/30/07 1:17 PM
 Find	7. more recreationist in danger zones/ increase SAR responses	Wed, 5/30/07 11:19 AM
 Find	8. Can have impacts with loss of power and no backup heat or food sources available.	Thu, 5/24/07 12:19 PM
 Find	9. Some increased risk	Thu, 5/24/07 10:58 AM
 Find	10. will effect more people	Thu, 5/24/07 9:41 AM
 Find	11. globe warming will change this	Thu, 5/24/07 7:36 AM
 Find	12. No Change	Wed, 5/23/07 3:47 PM
 Find	13. Impact will increase with new construction and expansion of infrastructure to new developments.	Wed, 5/23/07 2:57 PM
 Find	14. Big enough storms will drive the weak of heart back home.	Wed, 5/23/07 1:49 PM
		25 responses per page 